SECRET Approved For Release 200 Approved For R

30. LIAISON Called David Shaw, of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X returns from leave.	Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Tuesday - 13 December 1977	Page 6	25X1
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X			
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X			
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X			
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X			
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X			
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X			
Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to inform him that we were working on the documents involved in the Halkin v. Helms case as indicated in John Blake's, ADDCI, letter of 30 November 1977. Shaw said the Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X			
Committee was in agreement with the approach taken by Mr. Blake and the Committee would probably take the position that the documents are Committee property. Shaw agreed to begin review of the documents on 19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case,	Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff, to infeworking on the documents involved in the Halkin v. I	orm him that we were Helms case as indica	e ted
19 December when OGC, the attorney involved in the case, 25X	Committee was in agreement with the approach takes the Committee would probably take the position that	n by Mr. Blake and the documents are	
		volved in the case,	25X1

25X1

25X1